

Exhibit #8

From: Steve Gartin
Sent: Saturday, April 03, 2004 12:40 PM
To: Chas Clements; Doc Miller
Cc: Pamela Hadas; Judith; Frank
Subject: Protective Seclusion

Hi Chas,

Due to recent events, Frank thought it wise to go to a safehouse for the next week. Because of the global positioning device in Cricket cellphones, I can't take it with me. You can maintain contact through email since I have access where I am. Please relay that information to Doc at your earliest convenience.

Before I left, I checked my messages and Doc had left me a message about Marlene Langfield's strategy and another message to get myself or Frank to pick up case #97M472 at the Littleton Courthouse because he has had a relapse of West Nile. I cannot understand why Doc would set all my records out on the curb for recycle or for someone to just pick up before the case was concluded, but he did. That case was part of the discovery in this current case and I cannot pay to get more copies of it, let alone go pick it up for him. He is billing the STATE \$47 per hour and mileage to handle this case and it should be his responsibility to correct any breaches of security or fiduciary responsibility, not mine. I have no resources to pay for those records nor transportation to retrieve them; aside from the fact that I am unavailable to anyone except Frank for the next week.

I realize that Doc will not check his email, so I am sending this to Judith as well as you in hopes that either of you will relay this message to him.

Doc also asked that I contact a bunch of people to attend the hearing on the 8th of April. For the next week, I will have no access to a telephone, for security reasons, so that will have to be Doc's responsibility.

Doc never emailed me the motion that will be heard nor any information concerning his conversation with Marlene, so I am completely in the dark concerning the substance of this hearing. I did receive your message informing me that you had relayed Tamara's address to him, but I have not received any information on how she replied or what to expect from that case either. I know that he has my email address, so I don't understand why I am not receiving any communication from him other than those few messages on my cell phone. If you speak with him anytime soon, let him know that Judith has a copy of this communication. I have also included Frank so that he can keep up on the details if anything else needs to be done. Frank and Doc have not been on friendly terms since the \$1000 loan that Frank made to him, so perhaps you can facilitate any communication they might need to have in my interests.

Also, now that the two year abatement of actions that Doc agreed with Marlene to keep me from filing any lawsuits has concluded, it is time for me to start preparing the Van Dusen suit.

Last night when I reported the Carlos Bonilla Incident to Doc, he told me about moving to a new home in Denver. One of the messages he left on my phone while I was out of town was that he and Judith were clearing out the attic in preparation for the move and found a box with Pamela's tax records, deeds, mortgages and etc. and wanted her address to send them to her, so I would assume that he would also like to get rid of the Renita O'Ferril files and the JeffCO felony case that was dismissed against me . . . so rather than allow those files to suffer the same fate as the records in my active case, would you ask him to drop all those files off at your house? Last time he and I spoke about that case he had lost the first 35 pages of the O'Ferril case, but he may find them as he cleans out his office for the move. Those were the critical exhibits in the Van Dusen suit, so we can only hope that he finds them.

Also, there are the files for the Anderson case. Alan wants to proceed pro se, so before Doc discards or destroys those files, would you ask him for those also?

You might also recall that I loaned Doc your Police Misconduct book that was on loan to you, so before that also gets lost, you should probably remind him to return that book to you. Doc also has all the information, evidence and exhibits in the Paladin case and we should begin preparing to file that case as well. Last time he and I spoke he vowed to prepare and file the Van Dusen and Paladin case, but after our conversation with him at your home on 4 March, 2004 when he told you that he was "welching" on the deal for which you had paid him a retainer, I'm not sure of the extent of his "welching" and we haven't discussed it since. So just for safekeeping, I'd like to see you retain all those records if it would not be too great an imposition on your time and space. If he is not "welching" on those agreements, he will know exactly where the files are.

Doc probably has the hearing on the 8th well in hand, but if there is anything he needs, I would consider it a favor if you would assist him with any details that he has difficulty with. He asked for a copy of the SWAT assault, but I gave him two copies of it over the last two years. If he can't find either of them, please email me in time to cut another copy.

Doc also has a great deal of difficulty with his computer and since you have a very good working understanding of ACT and Word, perhaps you could provide him with telephone support now that I cannot serve him in that capacity for the next week. He has still not learned to use email, so you could be of great value to him in that regard as well. Particularly in light of the fact that email will be the only way I can communicate for the next week.

Doc often has a problem finding files, but I have organized his files by Client just like your hard drive and Frank's, so you should be able to assist him in finding things without going to Boulder. He never learned how to search his hard drive, so you could be of great assistance in that regard if he has lost anything. I set up his motion templates just like yours and Frank's under the Write menu in ACT. Thanks Chas!

If anything becomes critical, email me immediately and I will make it a habit to check email several times a day. The phone is not an option for the next week.

Knowing the criminal nature of Estep, Clyman and the Bonillas I'm confident that you understand why it is necessary to go into protective seclusion for the next week. I apologize for any inconvenience this may cause you and I appreciate your kind understanding and assistance.

Have a great week!

Steve